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Year 3 Program Overview

Since the beginning of the program in April 2011, The Southern Nevada Health District’s Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program has provided its curricula to approximately 2,323 youth in Clark County Juvenile Justice Services (juvenile detention, juvenile probation) and Clark County Department of Family Services (Foster Care). This report summarizes all process and outcome evaluation activities completed by SNHD for the period of October 9, 2012 – August 29, 2013. This data represents Year 3 of the Office of Adolescent Health grant period.

Selected Evidence Based Curricula

Be Proud! Be Responsible!
¡Cuidate!

Recruitment Goal:

- 1044 at-risk youth

Implementation Sites

Department of Juvenile Justice Services:

- Detention Units: E-2; E-3; E-5
- Probation: MLK; Stewart; West Charleston; East Flamingo

Department of Family Services

- Foster Care (DREAMR Project)
- Specialized Alternatives for Families and Youth (SAFY)

Accomplishments

- Recruited 917 youth and maintained a 78% retention rate. 84% of the participants were male, the focus of our program.
- Continuously received positive feedback and collaboration from staff.
- In Year 3, our program expanded to the following sites to increase recruitment and awareness to more teens.
  - Detention Units (E-1 and E-4)
Challenges

- Scheduling and recruiting participants in the new Detention Units.
- Of the 129 classes scheduled 21 were cancelled for various reasons. These class cancellations resulted in a loss of approximately 127 participants.
- Participant follow-up at three and six months. We implemented additional protocols and have worked with our partners to gather more reliable contact information. These efforts have significantly improved participants follow-up rates at three and six months.

Moving Forward

- Develop a sustainability plan with the Department of Juvenile Justice and Foster Care to continue the TPP program initiatives beyond the grant funding period, which ends August 2015.
- Continue working with our partners to access reliable contact information to improve participant follow-up at three and six months.
BASELINE SEXUAL HISTORY

TPP youth participants are given a pre-survey to measure their baseline sexual history prior to taking the class. This data is important for providing context to evaluating changes or outcomes in the participants’ knowledge, attitudes, intentions and behaviors towards safer sex practices and the prevention of unplanned pregnancy as a result of participating in the TPP program.

The original research in the development of the evidence-based curricula was conducted with high-risk youth. However, those youth resided in urban community settings in Philadelphia, PA and the mean age was 14.64 years of age.1 In comparison, the baseline sexual histories of youth in the Nevada TPP program indicate extremely high risk behaviors. In this report, sexual history data from the Nevada TPP program is compared to the responses in the 2011 Nevada Youth Risk Behavior Survey2, to provide an indication of the level of risk behaviors the youth in the TPP program have with regard to teen pregnancy and HIV/STI infection. This may have a moderating effect on the magnitude of the outcome goals established for the TPP program.

---


How old were you when you had sexual intercourse for the very first time?

23% of TPP participants have had sexual intercourse for the first time before age 13.
NV = 6.1%
US = 6.2%

80% of TPP participants had sexual intercourse with four or more during people during their life.
NV = 14%
US = 15.3%
The last time you had sexual intercourse, did you or your partner use a condom? (N=681)

- Detention: N=186
  - Yes: N=216
  - No: N=173
- Probation: N=87
  - Yes: N=173
  - No: N=13
- Foster Care: N=6
  - Yes: N=6
  - No: N=148

41% of TPP participants used a condom at last sexual intercourse.
NV = 57.4%
US = 60%

Have you ever been tested for HIV or other sexually transmitted infections? (N=800)

- Detention: N=290
  - Yes: N=230
  - No: N=148
- Probation: N=101
  - Yes: N=148
  - No: N=101
- Foster Care: N=14
  - Yes: N=14
  - No: N=14

41% of TPP participants used a condom at last sexual intercourse.
NV = 57.4%
US = 60%
*Other includes the shot (Depo Provera), the Ring (NuvaRing), IUD (Mirena or Paragard), Plan B, or some other method.

26% of TPP participants (276 of 622) used alcohol or drugs before last time they had sex.
The purpose of this process monitoring and process evaluation is to ensure that Southern Nevada Health District’s Teen Pregnancy Prevention Program pilot implementation in Clark County Juvenile Justice Detention Center from October 1, 2012 to August 30, 2013 was implemented as intended. This evaluation was designed to provide descriptive information related to the following information:

I. RECRUITMENT & RETENTION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Site</th>
<th>Recruitment Goal</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Retention Goal</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Detention</td>
<td>588</td>
<td>519</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>70%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probation</td>
<td>396</td>
<td>354</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>85%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foster Care</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>79%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td>78%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Selection Criteria: Were the characteristics of the teens who participated in the pilot program congruent with the identified selection criteria?

Recruitment: How many teens were recruited for the pilot program compared to the number of teens forecasted?

Retention: How many teens completed all sessions compared to the number of teens we forecasted to complete all sessions?
II. **DEMOGRAPHICS**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demographics</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Goal %</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male-</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>730</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>84%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race/Ethnicity</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AA/Black</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic/Latino</td>
<td>45%</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>82%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>261</td>
<td>698</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age Group</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>1044</td>
<td>832</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Target Zip Codes</strong></td>
<td>Seven Zip Codes</td>
<td>Actual</td>
<td>Actual %</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89030</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89101</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89102</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89106</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89109</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89115</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>89119</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The seven target zip codes represent 23% of teen births in Clark County, NV, 2008.*

*23% of the youth recruited reside within the seven target zip codes.*
III. CURRICULA FIDELITY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curricula Fidelity</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Actual</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPBR</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¡CUIDATE!</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fidelity:** Was the pilot program carried out in accordance with the curriculum manuals as forecasted

IV. PARTICIPANT/FACILIATOR SATISFACTION  
(1 = Very Dissatisfied; 5 = Very Satisfied)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participant Satisfaction</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Mean Satisfaction Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>96%</td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPBR</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¡CUIDATE!</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facilitator Satisfaction</th>
<th>Goal</th>
<th>Actual</th>
<th>Mean Satisfaction Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>95%</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Participant Satisfaction:** How many participants were satisfied with the program compared to the number of participants we forecasted to be satisfied?

**Facilitator Satisfaction:** How many facilitators reported being satisfied with their facilitation and classroom management skills compared to forecasted satisfaction results?