



Mission: To protect and promote the health, the environment and the well-being of Clark County residents and visitors.

MINUTES

CLARK COUNTY HEALTH DISTRICT DISTRICT BOARD OF HEALTH MEETING

625 Shadow Lane
Las Vegas, Nevada 89106
Clemens Room

Thursday, May 24, 2001 - 8:00 A.M.

Chairman Brown called the meeting of the District Board of Health to order at 8:02 a.m. and the Pledge of Allegiance was held. She noted that she had been provided with the Affidavits of Posting and Mailing of Agenda meeting notices, as required by Nevada's Open Meeting Law. The Affidavits will be incorporated into the Official Minutes.

Board Members

Present:

Paula Brown	Chairman, North Las Vegas
Jim Christensen, MD	Secretary/Physician Member At-Large
Sherry Colquitt, RN	Appointee, Las Vegas
Susan Crowley	Vice-Chairman, Henderson
Amanda Cyphers	Councilwoman, Henderson
Cresent Hardy	Councilman, Mesquite
Joseph Hardy, MD	Councilman, Boulder City
Paul Henderson	Councilman, Mesquite
Erin Kenny	Commissioner, Clark County
Donalene Ravitch, RN	Appointee, Boulder City
Stephanie Smith	Councilwoman, North Las Vegas

Absent:

Chip Maxfield	Commissioner, Clark County
Gary Reese	Councilman, Las Vegas

Executive Secretary:

Donald S. Kwalick, MD, MPH

Legal Counsel:

Stephen Minagil, Esquire

Staff: Clare Schmutz; Karl Munninger; Fran Courtney, RN; Christine Robinson; Ed Wojcik; Mike Sword; Carrie MacDougall Angus MacEachern; Jane Shunney, RN; Larue Scull; Rose Bell, PhD; Art Bashor; Michael Naylor; Glenn Savage; Jeanne Palmer; Jennifer Sizemore; Suzann Chesebrough; Bob Folle; Shirley Busse; Judy Hartwell; Forrest Hasselbauer; Leo Vega; Norma Jordan and Diana Lindquist

P. O. Box 3902 – Las Vegas, Nevada 89127 – (702) 385-1291 – www.cchd.org

ATTENDANCE:

<u>NAME</u>	<u>REPRESENTING</u>
Dennis J. Kish	Cam Air / Pentacore
Jose Urioste	Environmental Quality Management
R. D. Hawkins	Las Vegas Cogeneration LP
Steve Johnson	Nevada Cogeneration
Jim Mikula	Pinnacle West Capital
Brett D. Hagedorn	Walker Specialty Construction, Inc.
Mark Marcell	Walker Specialty Construction, Inc.

OATH OF OFFICE: Councilman Cresent Hardy, Mesquite, Nevada

Stephen Minagil, Board of Health Legal Counsel administered the oath of office to the Councilman Cresent Hardy.

I. CONSENT AGENDA

These are matters considered to be routine by the District Board of Health and may be enacted by one motion. Any item, however, may be discussed separately per Board Member request before action. Any exceptions to the Consent Agenda must be stated prior to approval.

Chairman Brown opened the public hearing items 7(a) and 7(b) and asked if any member of the public wished to speak. There being no response she closed the public hearings.

Member Kenny moved for approval of the following consent agenda. Motion was seconded by Member Colquitt and carried unanimously:

1. **Approve Minutes/Board of Health Meeting** - 04/26/01
2. **Approve Payroll/Overtime for Periods of** : 03/17/01 - 03/30/01; 03/31/01 - 04/13/01
3. **Approve Accounts Payable Register** - **#805:** 03/19/01 - 04/06/01 & **#806:** 04/09/01 - 04/20/01
4. **Petition #37-01**- Interlocal Agreement with Clark County Social Service for up to \$268,732in Social Worker/Case Manager Services to Health District HIV Positive Patients (*Annual Renewal*)
5. **Petition #38-01** - Award Request for Proposal #12-00 for Development of Soil Wind Erodability Test Methods to Environmental Quality Management
6. **Petition #39-01** - Appointment of Suzann Chesebrough and Eugene Heberlee to Serve on the Home Health Advisory Board
7. **Public Hearing Upon request of any individual any public hearing item shall be removed from this consent agenda and placed on the regular agenda for public hearing.**
 - a. **Variance Request** - Request for Extension of a Variance to Construct an Individual Sewage Disposal System (ISDS) on an Undersized Lot Served by an Onsite Water Supply. The lot is 27,443 square feet in size and is located on Hinson Street. Legal description: N1/2NE1/4, Sec. 19, T22S, R61E, APN 177-19-502-007, Clark County, Nevada. **Petitioners:** Kenneth M and Sandra M. Zymanek

- b. **Memorandum #08-01** - Request for Variance from District Emergency Medical Services Regulation 200.400 Temporary License. **Petitioner:** Henderson Fire Department

II. PUBLIC HEARING/ACTION

1. **Memorandum #09-01** - Proposed Changes to Sections 0 (Definitions) and 18 (Permit and Technical Service Fees) of the Air Quality Regulations

Mike Sword, Assistant Director Air Quality Division (AQD), gave a brief overview and PowerPoint presentation of the proposed changes. He explained that the effect of the amendments would be to change or modify and increase the fee structure primarily from stationary sources. There have been a number of reviews of the air quality programs in the last year. The general consensus from these reviews is that funding sources need to be found and the District fees are too low. For example, the ENVIRON Report identified that there were significant differences between the District's fee structure and agencies in California. The Ralph Anderson and Associates study identified the need for additional staff. Additionally, the Hobbs Ong study that projected the fiscal need over the next five years indicated an increased need for funding. Funding is required to meet the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency commitments, to the industry and community. In December 2000 the fee for the construction permit was increased and at that time Staff committed to reviewing the fees structure on stationary sources. That review has resulted in the proposed changes.

The process to review the fees was twofold involving significant internal and external processes. To this end, staff has identified a fee structure with the potential to increase fee revenues by approximately one million dollars per year. He thanked the eight employees who were assigned to work on the project for the last ten weeks in addition to their regular duties. Staff reviewed the data, compared the existing fee structure to other counties in the southwest and the statistics associated with regulated sources within Clark County as well as the overall effect of the rule change. It is important to note the analysis identified a significant disparity between those companies that have the greatest emissions and those companies with the least emissions. In conjunction with the fee changes, staff reviewed and incorporated new or increases to labor-dependent fees.

The external effort involved the proposed regulations being introduced to the public in ten workshops over a ten-week period. This included nine focused stakeholder workshops on specific fee items and one general workshop which served as a wrap-up to the various proposals and counterproposals made. All interested individuals and affected industry were notified. Total attendance at the workshops was approximately 100 individuals. Several comments and suggestions to the proposals were incorporated into the proposed amendments.

Discussion followed by the Board and Staff on what would make the proposal perfect or more efficient, merging the potential to emit fees with the emission fees and asbestos abatement fees versus the size of the project. The best thing that can be done to truly correct the imbalances would be to have a fee system that is based on potential to emit. The current data system is not able to do this type of format. It is anticipated that this capability will be online in approximately one or two years.

Richard Neilson, representing Saguaro Power Company (SPC) stated that they were not in support of the fee increase. An effective and efficient Air Quality Management Program is a vital program to all of Clark County. Funding for the program should be fair and applied evenly to all sectors of industry. He commended staff for their efforts. However, they did not see the parity or fairness in the fee increase and expressed some concerns with the proposed amendments. SPC felt that under the proposed changes, equipment fee increases that affect several major industries are ignored while others are asked to provide the bulk of the increased

funding. Many power plants are paying more than their fair share. He suggested that the Board take a few more months to do the fee increases right the first time.

David Davis, representing Advanced Demolition Technologies an asbestos abatement contractor, expressed concern about the asbestos abatement fees in regards to the size of the project. The proposed fee structure appears to be geared toward the big implosion projects. However, a closer examination of smaller projects needs to be done as the small projects do the bulk of the work. To have a 400% increase in fees for small projects is extreme. He requested that a workshop with the asbestos industry be conducted to review the proposed fee increases.

Terry Barbara, Deputy Director of Southern Nevada Home Builders Association on behalf of the Board of Directors stated that they supported the increases and the parity that Staff was trying to put in place so that all of the different emitters pay their fair share.

Chairman Brown asked if anyone else wished to speak on the issue. There was no response; therefore she closed the public hearing.

Discussion followed by the Board and Staff relating to getting local control over state controlled facilities and permit renewal fees for the construction trade, which are basically a one-year or less activity fee. The majority of those operations last less than a year. If in fact the activity proceeds for more than year, the permittee can renew the permit. The renewal is from the standpoint that a new dust permit is issued.

Also, the possibility of conducting an additional workshop with individuals from the asbestos industry, a sliding fee or consideration for the size of project was discussed. Staff would be happy to meet with the individuals. However, staff felt that the fee structure as proposed will incorporate a scale for both the large and small operations. Approximately 80% of these types of projects are completed in one or two days. Those projects will only pay the certificate fee. The other proposed fees will not apply to those asbestos mitigations. In researching the proposed changes, staff looked at fee structures from different communities. There were several scaling types based on the size of buildings and staff felt that it did not fit with the District. Therefore, staff has developed a novel approach in that there is only one mandatory inspection a week at a charge of \$250 if the mitigation goes longer than week. This information was reviewed by U S Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), which felt that it eliminated several of the problems that most other agencies have with their tiered structuring system. Overall the majority of the industry indicates that they are able to live with the fee increase.

Member Smith moved for approval of the proposed changes to the fee structure and asked that staff continue working to fine tune the process. The motion was seconded by Member Kenny and carried unanimously. The Board directed Staff to meet with the asbestos community and address their concerns.

2. Memorandum #10-01 - Proposed Changes to Sections 0 (Definitions), 2 (Air Quality Board), 4 (Control Officer) and 19 (Part 70 Operating Permit) of the Air Quality Regulations

Mike Sword, explained that the several of the proposals in the above sections are related to Title V program and introduced Mr. David Lee, Air Quality Engineer, who had been instrumental in putting together the changes and preparing the program for final approval by US EPA.

David Lee commented that EPA initially granted interim approval of the District's Title V program in August 1995. Since that time, the District has implemented the Title V program in accordance with Section 19 and several other sections of the regulations. EPA has notified the District that they will no longer extend the interim status which will expire on December 1, 2001

and requested that the District make necessary revisions to the current regulations for final approval of the Title V program. By June 1, 2001, either the District has to submit an approvable program or a federal Title V program under regulation 71 would take affect. Therefore, staff has worked closely with EPA to guide staff in developing the essential necessary revisions to meet the basic requirement for seeking final approval of the District's Title V program.

The proposed revisions have been advertised in a public notice since March 22, 2001 for three consecutive weeks in the local newspapers. The public notification requirements for rulemaking have been satisfied. He briefly summarized the proposed revisions.

Chairman Brown opened the public hearing and asked if anyone wished to speak on the item. There was no response; therefore she closed the public hearing.

Brief discussion followed on the workshops, which were conducted, and any comments received were reviewed at that time. At the workshops Staff pointed out the amendments are direct quote from the federal Part 70 rules and the District did not have much leverage to change them. Additionally, Title V Part 70 permits regardless of what the District does if it is not federally enforceable or consistent with the federal regulations then there is a problem. This is a federal permitting program, therefore EPA can veto any permit not done correctly.

Member Dr. Hardy moved to approve the proposed changes. The motion was seconded by Member Christensen and carried unanimously.

3. Memorandum #11-01 - Proposed Changes to Section 12 (Preconstruction Review for New or Modified Stationary Sources) of the Air Quality Regulations Focused on Options for Boulder City and Eldorado Valley, For Example But Not Limited to, Removal of Boulder City From the Management Area Designation

Dr. Kwalick introduced Carrie MacDougall, Air Quality Division Assistant Director.

Member Dr. Hardy remarked that a workshop was conducted on May 15, 2001 with the AQD staff. He commended staff for their efforts in preparing and presenting the information at the workshop. Mayor Ferraro and the Boulder City Council have bought into the concept of doing positive things. The workshop focused on various things Boulder City has done and continues to do to improve the community. At the conclusion of the presentation, there were no public comments or objections to the proposal that BC be removed from the unattainment management area.

Discussion continued regarding Emission Reduction Credits (ERCs). Boulder City was proposing to do some positive things that would not be allowed under the current ERC program. Therefore Boulder City wanted to get out of the ERC program and still maintain the same standards that would be covered under the Lowest Achievable Emission Rate (LAER). The LAER standards will continue to apply to Boulder City under the current regulations. Through Prevention of Significant Deterioration (PSD), Boulder City will still keep the same standards and air quality regulations thus avoiding even the appearance of a relaxation of regulation. Currently, if a power plant came into the Eldorado Valley with a 500-megawatt capability of production an additional 28,500 trees would need to be planted. Boulder City is planting more than trees. Other plantings are being done with bushes and grasses. All the plant material is positive in their impact on volatile organic compounds (VOC). There will be improved green energy production opportunities as well.

Boulder City has leased for one dollar, 320 acres to the Nevada Test Site Corporation for a solar energy park to develop solar energy. Additionally, Request for Proposals have been put out for other solar energy production in Eldorado Valley. Boulder City will continue to develop/investigate positive things such as alternative fuel(s) and encouraging people to make conversions to their cars.

Carrie MacDougall, Assistant Director Air Quality Division (AQD), handed out errata sheets. Carrie explained as directed by the Board, staff prepared amendments to Section 12 of the AQD regulations that will set up a new air quality area for Eldorado Valley and Boulder City. There were several compelling reasons as noted by Member Hardy. Additionally, at present, there is no scientific basis to keep Boulder City and the Eldorado Valley as a maintenance area. Their desire is to encourage innovative ERC programs for emission mitigation and allow local control. She briefly reviewed the regulatory changes. A new air quality area has been created referred to as the Boulder City Eldorado Valley area. This area would not have the ERC requirements of other management areas. However, it does still keep in place the requirements for LAER, the trigger set forth for that as well as the threshold for major sources. Whenever there is a change in a SIP approved rule, which is now federally enforceable, there is a "SIP-gap". Due to some concerns about the SIP gap, staff recommended incorporating a preamble to Section 12 to explain the gap as follows: "Section 12 dated June 10, 1999, has been approved by the US EPA and incorporated into the State Implementation Plan. Subsequent changes as approved by the Board of Health have been submitted to the US EPA for approval and inclusion into the State Implementation Plan." This will adequately provide the information needed for the regulated community for the SIP gap situation, which can lead to third-party lawsuits and EPA overfilling. There are going to be changes to the ERC program and Staff has been directed to write amendments to that program. These will be presented to the Board for future approval. She also noted that the change in status of Boulder City and the Eldorado Valley may be viewed by some as a relaxation of the regulations.

Member Crowley added that the preamble is to give notice to any one in the industry who wanted to come in for a permit that they needed to be aware of the fact that the current rules need to be reviewed as to whether or not they are incorporated into the SIP. If they are not, then the permittee/applicant would need to recognize that they need to understand what the requirements actually are for federal enforceability, especially, if they are a major source. The risk comes in when the applicant comes in and wants to get permitted. They really have to be aware of the fact that there may be other requirements, which may be more stringent until the new regulations get incorporated into the SIP.

Chairman Brown opened the public hearing and asked if any member of the public wished to speak. There was no response; therefore she closed the public hearing.

Member Christensen moved for approval. The motion was seconded by Member Ravitch and carried unanimously.

4. **Variance Request** - Request for Extension of a Variance to Install an Individual Sewage Disposal System (ISDS) on Property within Four Hundred (400) Feet of a Public Sewer Line Served by a Public Water Supply. The lot is 48,352 square feet in size and is located at the corner of Verde Way and Leon Avenue. Legal description: Lot 2, Parcel Map File 45, SW14SW14, Sec. 36, T19S, R60E, APN 125-36-402-006, Clark County, Nevada. **Petitioners:** William and Amber Wise

Clare Schmutz, Environmental Health Director, explained the variance request was to extend a variance that was approved May 25, 2000. At the time the Board approved the variance, staff had requested recommendations from the City of Las Vegas because a public sewer is

maintained by the City within 340 feet of the property. At that time, Las Vegas had “no comment” on whether the Board should approve or disapprove the variance. Mr. and Mrs. Wise did not get their plans approved, so construction could not proceed. The applicants are now requesting this extension to the variance to complete their building permits. Staff submitted a second request to the City of Las Vegas for comment to extend the variance and receive a recommendation denying the extension.

Ed Wojcik, Environmental Health Engineer/Manager, remarked that in looking at this particular request for extension of the variance, staff considered all health or safety risks involved that may affect the surrounding properties and the applicant. Septic systems are known to introduce nitrates into the groundwater at concentrations above the Safe Drinking Water Act maximum contaminant level of 10 parts per million (ppm) or 10 milligrams per liter (mcl). Therefore, staff is of the opinion that the granting of this variance will tend to endanger human health due to the location of ten domestic wells within six hundred feet of the proposed leach field. Each one of these wells serves 100% of the domestic water for eight residences; unlike other parts of the valley that are served by municipal water, where there is opportunity to blend Lake Mead Water at a ratio of 90% to groundwater at 10%. The health risk is reduced in those cases where municipal water is available to blend with groundwater. Currently, all the wells located in that area, with the exception of three, serve individual residences and one serves two residences. Two of the wells are replacement wells. The State of Nevada Department of Environmental Protection (NDEP) has established guidelines to control the development of major subdivisions where there are five or more lots involved. These guidelines specify how many septic systems can be in a radius encompassing a square mile. A threshold of one hundred nineteen parcels not connected to a public sewer system within a square mile (640 acres) is the maximum that NDEP will allow in the Las Vegas Drainage Basin without requiring a nitrification reduction system. There are nine existing septic systems within that 600-foot radius serving approximately ten acres of land. This equals to a ratio of 5 to 1. Thus, the current density that exists in the immediate neighborhood within 600 feet is approximately five times the allowable density for a new subdivision in this drainage basin area.

If the applicant were granted the variance, would the benefit to the community be greater or lesser? Staff researched areas where there have been high nitrate levels within the valley. There has been an incident in the southern part of the valley, namely the Mayfield subdivision. In 1998 it cost the owners of 33 parcels of land \$622, 000 to convert from a community water supply served by water wells to public water where the nitrate levels went from the background levels of approximately 2 ppm up to 20 parts ppm and exceeded the federal limitation. That cost per lot was approximately \$19,000. Thus, the impact and cost to the community was significant in that case. Therefore, while considering the relative interests, other property owners and the lack of equal or greater benefit to the public, staff recommended denial of the extension of the variance.

Dr. Kwalick informed the Board that staff would start recommending denial of all variances for septic systems that are within 400 feet of a public sewage system. It is in the best interest of public health to have a community-wide public sewer system rather than add to the estimated 18,000 tanks that are currently in the county. If the Board does decide to grant a variance on these types of systems, staff will be recommending a requirement of nitrification reduction systems.

Chairman Brown opened the public hearing and asked if any member of the public wished to speak.

William Wise, property owner, remarked that this variance was approved a year ago. The same people that have the wells have septic systems. This property lies on the corner of Verde Way

and Leon Avenue. To the east of Leon is Los Prados and there are no wells or septic systems in that planned community. To the south is Lone Mountain, which will hookup to City of Las Vegas sewer and water. His cost to hookup is very expensive. This property will not have a well.

Brief discussion ensued with the Board, Applicant and Staff concerning having septic systems and wells in the same proximity as well as the distance from the closest well which is approximately 200 feet. The Board has to look at the best interest for the community.

Chairman Brown asked if there was anyone else who wanted to speak on the item? There being no response she closed the public hearing.

Member Cyphers moved for denial of the variance. Motion was seconded by Member Smith and carried 10 to 1 with Member Crescent Hardy voting Nay.

IV. CITIZEN PARTICIPATION

Citizen participation is a period devoted to comments by the general public about matters relevant to the Board's jurisdiction. Items raised under this portion of the Agenda cannot be acted upon by the Board of Health until the notice provisions of Nevada's Open Meeting Law has been complied with. Therefore, no vote may be taken on a matter not listed on the posted agenda and any action on such items will have to be considered at a later meeting.

Chairman Brown invited any member of the public wished to speak to come to the podium. There was no response.

V. HEALTH OFFICER & STAFF REPORTS

Dr. Kwalick introduced Karl Munniger, Director of Administrative Services, to review some facility changes that will be occurring over the next year.

Karl Munniger reported that Dr. Kwalick has asked staff to develop an aggressive strategy to address the space issues in the main facility as well as the need for additional parking. With the legislative measures to carve out AQD, space will become available on the premises. Taking advantage of that additional space staff can meet that charge and stay under the budgetary levels that the Board set at its March 22, 2001 meeting. As a result of the AQD relocation, six sections, (mailroom, print shop, supply room, maintenance, computer staging and test room and Human Resources) will be moved from the main building. In addition, staff is planning to move three smaller programs (Women, Infants & Children, Covering Kids and HIV/AIDS clinical staff) into the main building. Most of the HIV/AIDS non-clinical staff has already relocated to the new Shadow Professional Building, Suite #210. Further, it is anticipated that staff will be proposing that the District not proceed with the construction of both of the new buildings but rather only construct the new warehouse receiving building and forego a new tuberculosis (TB) building. If the District proceeds with that option, the TB Treatment and Control staff will be relocated to the south wing of the current AQD facility after it is transformed it into a fully OSHA complaint TB control facility. By using the south wing of the current AQD building, the TB facility will be closer to the main building. This schema will facilitate elimination of both of the TB trailers, the WIC and HIV/AIDS trailers. The removal of these temporary buildings and the gain in space by foregoing the new TB building will provide an increased parking capacity of 20%, which is a significant gain. The parking spaces that will be made available by departing AQD staff will further increase parking availability up to 35%.

Also, several remodeling projects are underway for the main building. The Family Planning and STD units are moving to Wing 9, which is where the Immunization program used to be. That will place Family Planning and STD in close proximity to the Laboratory. This will also give Family Planning additional exam rooms to process more patients. The Communicable Disease Investigators will also be relocated to that same area. Where Family Planning is now is where Immunization will be relocated and the WIC program will also go into that same area.

The District is beginning the implementation of digital telephones in the main building. Voice-over IP digital phones are already operational at the North Las Vegas Health Center and at the Shadow Professional building. This combined voice and data system will be implemented in Wing 9 within the next month.

The Epidemiology, Emergency Medical Services and Health Education areas will utilize the additional space vacated by the Human Resources, which is now in the midst of these programs. At present these programs are cramped with staff distributed in various areas throughout the building but each program will end up with all staff together in one location.

Another major renovation will be the Health Card area. The Radiology Program has been discontinued and the District now relies on Valley Hospital to take and read X-rays. The space vacated by this program will be remodeled into an enlarged Health Card area. The expansion will allow for the processing of more health card applicants at the main building. The savings anticipated by foregoing the new TB building should be more than enough to pay for the remodeling and will allow staff to stay within the budgetary levels set by the Board.

Bids have been received on the new warehouse receiving facility and staff is presently evaluating those bids as well as verifying the contractors and subcontractors with the State Contractor's Board. Staff anticipates making a recommendation for bid award at the June 28, 2001 Board meeting.

Dr. Kwalick thanked Fran Courtney, RN, Director of Clinics and Nursing and immunization staff for using the rotunda area and Nursing Conference Room during the renovation.

Also, he handed out a summary of legislative issues that the District Lobbyists, Helen Foley and Rose McKinney-James have been following in Carson City.

Dr. Kwalick and Member Ravitch encouraged the Board Members to support the Nevada Partnership for Homeless Youth charity dinner on June 16, 2001. Individual tickets are \$125 with tables of ten at \$1250.

VI. INFORMATIONAL ITEMS

Duly Noted

A. Administration:

1. Mid April 2001 – Mid May 2001 Monthly Activity Report
2. Financial Data – Revenues and Expenditures for Fund 705 (Operating), 706 (Capital Reserve), and 762 (Liability Reserve) for the Month of April 2001
3. Emergency Medical Services Medical Advisory Board April 4, 2001 Minutes and May 2, 2001 Annotated Agenda
4. Health Education April 2001 Monthly Report
5. Epidemiology April 2001 Monthly Report
6. Public Information April 2001 Report

B. Air Quality:

1. Monthly Report, April 2001 (Air Quality, Enforcement Activity, Permitting, Source Compliance and Regulation Development)
2. Air Quality Hearing Board Annotated Agenda April 12, 2001 and February 8, 2001 Minutes
3. Particulate Matter Emissions Control Research Advisory Committee April 13, 2001 Minutes

C. Environmental Health:

1. April 2001 Monthly Activity Report
2. Listing of Food Establishments in Plan Review for the Period of April 1, 2001 to April 30, 2001
3. Letter from U. S. Department of Health & Human Services Congratulating Lonnie Empey on Successfully Completing the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Educational Activity Diagnosis and Management of Foodborne Illness

D. Nursing and Clinics:

1. April 2001 Monthly Activity Report

VII. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, Chairman Brown adjourned the meeting at 10a.m.

SUBMITTED FOR BOARD APPROVAL

Donald S. Kwalick, MD, MPH, Chief Health Officer
Executive Secretary

/mg